| o 🛌 | | \bullet | |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | ACKERMANN & TILAJEF, P.C. Craig J. Ackermann, CA Bar No. 229832 | | |
| 2 | cja@ackermanntilajef.com 1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 610 | | |
| 3 | Los Angeles, CA 90035 | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (310) 277-0614 Facsimile: (310) 277-0635 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT | |
| 5 | | AUG 21 2020 | |
| 6 | MELMED LAW GROUP, P.C. Jonathan Melmed, CA Bar No. 290218 | BY CONTINUE | |
| 7 | jm@melmedlaw.com 1801 Century Park East, Suite 850 | BY Com MUELLER, DEPUTY | |
| 8 | Los Angeles, California 90067 | MOLLLER, DEPUTY | |
| 9 | Telephone: (310) 824-3828 Facsimile: (310) 862-6851 | | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 14 | FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO | | |
| 15 | JUAN CAZARES LOPEZ, individually and on | Case No. CIVDS1934904 | |
| 16 | behalf of all others similarly situated, | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, | PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY | |
| 18 | v. | APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT | |
| 19 | RTS HOLDINGS, LLC dba RoadOne | | |
| 20 | Intermodalogistics, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; and does 1 to 50, inclusive, | Dept.: S-26 | |
| 21 | Defendants. | Judge: Hon. David Cohn | |
| 22 | Detenualits. | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | I | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | | | |
| | - 1 - Proposed Order | | |
| | PROPOSED ORDER | | |

<u>ORDER</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") came on for hearing on August 11, 2020 at 10:00 a.m., before the Honorable David Cohn presiding. The Court having. Considered the papers submitted in support of the application of the parties, HEREBY **ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:**

The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based 1. upon the terms set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release of Class Action (the "Settlement Agreement") filed with the Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for 9 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as 10 defined in the Settlement Agreement. The settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement appears to be 12 fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class. 13

The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively 2. 14 valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval of 15 16 this Court.

17 A final fairness hearing on the questions of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees 3. 18 and costs to Class Counsel should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members 19 of the Class is scheduled in Department S-26 on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule 20 21 in Paragraph 10 below.

22 This Court approves, as to form and consent, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement 4. 23 and Hearing Date for Court Approval ("Class Notice"), in substantially the form attached to the Settlement 24 Agreement of Settlement as Exhibit 1, and the Share Form in substantially the form attached thereto as 25 Exhibit 2. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to 26 object to, the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement. 27

28 This Court has considered the papers in support of the Motion and the Settlement Agreement 5. 29 and finds that the, pursuant to C.R.C. Rule 3.769(d), proposed Class should be certified for settlement 30 purposes only. Specifically, the Court finds for settlement purposes only that the proposed Class: (a) is

ascertainable; (b) is sufficiently numerous; (c) meets the commonality requirements; (d) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the proposed Class Members; (e) Class Representatives' counsel has and is able to adequately represent the proposed Class; (f) the Class Representatives are adequate to represent the Class; and (g) class-wide treatment of this dispute is superior to individual litigation because common issues predominate over individual issues for settlement purposes.

7
6. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively
8 valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by
9 this Court.

The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice, and the Share Form by first class mail to
the Class Members in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below. The Court finds the
dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice and the Share Form, as set forth in the
Implementation Schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable
under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

8. The Court preliminary certifies for settlement purposes only the Settlement Class defined as follows: *Plaintiff and all other California residents who work or worked as truck drivers and who are or have been classified as independent contractors by Defendant in California from May 15,015 through February 1, 2020.* The Class Period is defined as May 15, 2015 through February 1, 2020.

9. The Court confirms Plaintiff Juan Cazares Lopez as Class Representative, and Craig J.
Ackermann and Sam Vahedi of Ackermann & Tilajef, P.C., and Jonathan Melmed of Melmed Law
Group P.C. as Class Counsel.

24 25

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

10. The Court appoints CPT Group, Inc., as the Settlement Administrator.

11. To facilitate administrations of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court hereby
 enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative
 proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California
 Department of Industrial Relations) regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class
 Members have filed valid and timely Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator responding

PROPOSED ORDER

to the Class Notice has lapsed.

12. The Court orders the following **Implementation Schedule** for further proceedings:

| Defendant to make payment of the Gross | Within 20 calendar days of the Court's Order |
|---|---|
| Settlement Amount to the Settlement | Granting Preliminary Approval, per the terms of |
| Administrator. | the Settlement Agreement. These funds shall be |
| | held in a trust account by the Administrator |
| | pending final approval |
| Defendant to provide Class List to the | Within 14 calendar days of the Court's Order |
| Settlement Administrator. | Granting Preliminary Approval. |
| Settlement Administrator to mail Notice | Within 28 calendar days of the entry of the Order |
| Packet to Class Members. | Granting Preliminary Approval. |
| Deadline for Class Members to object to, or | Within 30 calendar days after mailing of Notice |
| opt out of, the Settlement. | Packet by the Settlement Administrator |
| Plaintiff to file Motion for Attorneys' Fees, | Not less than 25 calendar days after the mailing of |
| Costs and Service Payment. | the Notice Packet. |
| Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final | Not less than 10 calendar days before the Final |
| Approval. | Approval hearing. |

13. A Final Approval hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, including the requested attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel that will be included in the forthcoming motion for fees and costs, and the Class Representative's Incentive Award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled for <u>November 24, 2020</u> at <u>10:00 a.m.</u>

14. The parties to the Agreement are directed to carry out their obligations under the Settlement

21 Agreement.

8/20/20 Dated:

Hon. David Cohn Judge of the Superior Court, San Bernardino